

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,483	02/07/2002	Hiroshi Asada	4329.2047-01	8208
22852	7590 05/16/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			NGUYEN, TOAN D	
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				SV			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
	·	10/067,483	ASADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Toan D. Nguyen	2616	·			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	ldress			
WHI( - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum status are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this c ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 03 March 2006					
′—		☐ This action is non-final.					
· · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for		atters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>16,17,19,20,22,23,25,26,28,29</u>	9.31 and 32 is/are pending in th	e application.				
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,17,19,20,22,23,25,26,28,29,31 and 32</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	•					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>3/3/06</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P1	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	, ,		•	•			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	4) L Interview Paper No.	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/4/02;7/18/02</u> .		Informal Patent Application (PTC	O- <u>1</u> 52).			

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 16-17, 19-20, 22-23, 25-26, 28-29, and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clise et al. (US 6,064,722) in view of Vannucci (US 5,513,184) further in view of David et al. (US 6,069,943).

For claim 16, Clise et al. disclose data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems, comprising:

communication network interface means (figure 3A, reference 110) connectable to a communication network (col. 5 lines 37-39);

bus interface means (figure 3A, reference 108) (col. 5 lines 37-40 and col. 6 lines 13-17);

memory means (figure 3A, reference 104) including a routing table (col. 6 line 23); and

routing means (figure 4A, reference 210) for routing a packet signal transmitted via said communication network interface means (figure 4A, reference 224) with reference to said routing table (figure 3A, reference 104), in accordance with an address signal, and for transmitting said packet signal to said bus interface means (figure 3A, reference 108) (col. 6 lines 58-65, and col. 7 lines 57-64).

However, Clise et al. do not expressly disclose connectable to a bus of said private branch exchanger. In an analogous art, Vannucci discloses connectable to a bus of said private branch exchanger (figure 3, reference 302, col. 6 lines 62-65).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the bus of said private branch exchanger, and would have applied Vannucci's synchronous bus in Clise et al.'s bus interface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Vannucci's wireless communication system in Clise et al.'s data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems with the motivation being to interconnect the synchronous bus with discrete communication paths to the PBX switching center (col. 6 lines 63-65).

Furthermore, Clise et al. in view of Vannucci do not expressly disclose wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet

Art Unit: 2616

signal. In an analogous art, David et al. disclose wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal (figure 5, reference CODECS, col. 5 lines 25-29).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal, and would have applied David et al.'s CODECS in Clise et al.'s communication network interface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use David et al.'s collaborative conferencing circuit in Clise et al.'s data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems with the motivation being to provide the router, where connections to digital lines are required, must be connected to two CODECS (analog to digital encoder/digital to analog decoder), and one codecs selector (col. 5 lines 19-21).

For claim 17, Clise et al. disclose wherein said communication network interface means includes a hub means (figure 3A, reference 102) (col. 5 lines 54-55).

For claim 19, Clise et al. disclose data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems, comprising:

communication network interface means (figure 3A, reference 110) means connectable to a communication network (col. 5 lines 37-39);

bus interface means (figure 3A, reference 108) (col. 5 lines 37-40 and col. 6 lines 13-17);

Art Unit: 2616

memory means (figure 3A, reference 104) including a routing table (col. 6 line 23); and

routing means (figure 4A, reference 210) for protocol converting a packet signal transmitted via said communication network interface means (figure 4A, reference 224), and for routing said packet signal with reference to said routing table (figure 3A, reference 104), in accordance with an address signal, and for transmitting said packet signal to said bus interface means (figure 3A, reference 108) (col. 6 lines 58-65, and col. 7 lines 57-64).

However, Clise et al. do not expressly disclose connectable to a bus of said private branch exchanger. In an analogous art, Vannucci discloses connectable to a bus of said private branch exchanger (figure 3, reference 302, col. 6 lines 62-65).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the bus of said private branch exchanger, and would have applied Vannucci's synchronous bus in Clise et al.'s bus interface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Vannucci's wireless communication system in Clise et al.'s data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems with the motivation being to interconnect the synchronous bus with discrete communication paths to the PBX switching center (col. 6 lines 63-65).

Furthermore, Clise et al. in view of Vannucci do not expressly disclose wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal. In an analogous art, David et al. disclose wherein said communication network

Art Unit: 2616

interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal (figure 5, reference CODECS, col. 5 lines 25-29).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal, and would have applied David et al.'s CODECS in Clise et al.'s communication network interface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use David et al.'s collaborative conferencing circuit in Clise et al.'s data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems with the motivation being to provide the router, where connections to digital lines are required, must be connected to two CODECS (analog to digital encoder/digital to analog decoder), and one codecs selector (col. 5 lines 19-21).

For claim 20, Clise et al. disclose wherein said communication network interface means includes a hub means (figure 3A, reference 102) (col. 5 lines 54-55).

For claim 22, Clise et al. disclose data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems, comprising:

communication network interface means (figure 3A, reference 110) connectable to a communication network (col. 5 lines 37-39);

bus interface means (figure 3A, reference 108) (col. 5 lines 37-40 and col. 6 lines 13-17).

However, Clise et al. do not expressly disclose connectable to a bus of said private branch exchanger; and time slot assigning means for assigning packet signals transmitted via said communication network interface means, to time slots of a bus connected to said bus interface means. In an analogous art, Vannucci discloses connectable to a bus of said private branch exchanger (figure 3, reference 302, col. 6 lines 62-65); and time slot assigning means for assigning packet signals transmitted via said communication network interface means, to time slots of a bus connected to said bus interface means (figure 4, reference the lower (on the bus)) (col. 7 lines 35 and col. 7 lines 39).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the bus of said private branch exchanger, and would have applied Vannucci's synchronous bus in Clise et al.'s bus interface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Vannucci's wireless communication system in Clise et al.'s data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems with the motivation being to interconnect the synchronous bus with discrete communication paths to the PBX switching center (col. 6 lines 63-65).

Furthermore, Clise et al. in view of Vannucci do not expressly disclose wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal. In an analogous art, David et al. disclose wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding

a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal (figure 5, reference CODECS, col. 5 lines 25-29).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal, and would have applied David et al.'s CODECS in Clise et al.'s communication network interface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use David et al.'s collaborative conferencing circuit in Clise et al.'s data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems with the motivation being to provide the router, where connections to digital lines are required, must be connected to two CODECS (analog to digital encoder/digital to analog decoder), and one codecs selector (col. 5 lines 19-21).

For claim 23, Clise et al. disclose wherein said communication network interface means includes a hub means (figure 3A, reference 102) (col. 5 lines 54-55).

For claim 25, Clise et al. disclose data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems, comprising:

communication network interface unit (figure 3A, reference 110) connectable to a communication network (col. 5 lines 37-39);

bus interface unit (figure 3A, reference 108) (col. 5 lines 37-40 and col. 6 lines 13-17);

memory (figure 3A, reference 104) including a routing table (col. 6 line 23); and

controller (figure 3A, reference 100) for routing a packet signal transmitted via said communication network interface unit (figure 4A, reference 224) with reference to said routing table (figure 3A, reference 104), in accordance with an address signal, and for transmitting said packet signal to said bus interface unit (col. 6 lines 58-65, and col. 7 lines 57-64).

However, Clise et al. do not expressly disclose connectable to a bus of said private branch exchanger. In an analogous art, Vannucci discloses connectable to a bus of said private branch exchanger (figure 3, reference 302, col. 6 lines 62-65).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the bus of said private branch exchanger, and would have applied Vannucci's synchronous bus in Clise et al.'s bus interface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Vannucci's wireless communication system in Clise et al.'s data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems with the motivation being to interconnect the synchronous bus with discrete communication paths to the PBX switching center (col. 6 lines 63-65).

Furthermore, Clise et al. in view of Vannucci do not expressly disclose wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal. In an analogous art, David et al. disclose wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal (figure 5, reference CODECS, col. 5 lines 25-29).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal, and would have applied David et al.'s CODECS in Clise et al.'s communication network interface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use David et al.'s collaborative conferencing circuit in Clise et al.'s data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems with the motivation being to provide the router, where connections to digital lines are required, must be connected to two CODECS (analog to digital encoder/digital to analog decoder), and one codecs selector (col. 5 lines 19-21).

For claim 26, Clise et al. disclose wherein said communication network interface means includes a hub means (figure 3A, reference 102) (col. 5 lines 54-55).

For claim 28, Clise et al. disclose data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems, comprising:

communication network interface unit (figure 3A, reference 110) connectable to a communication network (col. 5 lines 37-39);

bus interface unit (figure 3A, reference 108) (col. 5 lines 37-40 and col. 6 lines 13-17);

memory (figure 3A, reference 104) including a routing table (col. 6 line 23); and controller (figure 3A, reference 100) for protocol converting a packet signal transmitted via said communication network interface unit (figure 4A, reference 224), and for routing said packet signal with reference to said routing table (figure 3A,

reference 104), in accordance with an address signal, and for transmitting said packet signal to said bus interface unit (col. 6 lines 58-65, and col. 7 lines 57-64).

However, Clise et al. do not expressly disclose connectable to a bus of said private branch exchanger. In an analogous art, Vannucci discloses connectable to a bus of said private branch exchanger (figure 3, reference 302, col. 6 lines 62-65).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the bus of said private branch exchanger, and would have applied Vannucci's synchronous bus in Clise et al.'s bus interface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Vannucci's wireless communication system in Clise et al.'s data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems with the motivation being to interconnect the synchronous bus with discrete communication paths to the PBX switching center (col. 6 lines 63-65).

Furthermore, Clise et al. in view of Vannucci do not expressly disclose wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal. In an analogous art, David et al. disclose wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal (figure 5, reference CODECS, col. 5 lines 25-29).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal, and would have applied

David et al.'s CODECS in Clise et al.'s communication network interface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use David et al.'s collaborative conferencing circuit in Clise et al.'s data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems with the motivation being to provide the router, where connections to digital lines are required, must be connected to two CODECS (analog to digital encoder/digital to analog decoder), and one codecs selector (col. 5 lines 19-21).

For claim 29, Clise et al. disclose wherein said communication network interface means includes a hub means (figure 3A, reference 102) (col. 5 lines 54-55).

For claim 31, Clise et al. disclose data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems, comprising:

communication network interface unit (figure 3A, reference 110) connectable to a communication network (col. 5 lines 37-39);

bus interface unit (figure 3A, reference 108) (col. 5 lines 37-40 and col. 6 lines 13-17).

However, Clise et al. do not expressly disclose connectable to a bus of said private branch exchanger; and time slot assigner for assigning packet signals transmitted via said communication network interface means, to time slots of a bus connected to said bus interface means. In an analogous art, Vannucci discloses connectable to a bus of said private branch exchanger (figure 3, reference 302, col. 6 lines 62-65); and time slot assigner for assigning packet signals transmitted via said communication network interface means, to time slots of a bus connected to said bus

interface means (figure 4, reference the lower (on the bus)) (col. 7 lines 35 and col. 7 lines 39).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the bus of said private branch exchanger, and would have applied Vannucci's synchronous bus in Clise et al.'s bus interface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use Vannucci's wireless communication system in Clise et al.'s data request router for use with emergency public safety answering point systems with the motivation being to interconnect the synchronous bus with discrete communication paths to the PBX switching center (col. 6 lines 63-65).

Furthermore, Clise et al. in view of Vannucci do not expressly disclose wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal. In an analogous art, David et al. disclose wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal (figure 5, reference CODECS, col. 5 lines 25-29).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the wherein said communication network interface means is further connectable to voice encoding/decoding means for encoding a voice signal and for decoding a voice packet signal, and would have applied David et al.'s CODECS in Clise et al.'s communication network interface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use David et al.'s collaborative conferencing circuit in Clise et al.'s data request router

for use with emergency public safety answering point systems with the motivation being to provide the router, where connections to digital lines are required, must be connected to two CODECS (analog to digital encoder/digital to analog decoder), and one codecs selector (col. 5 lines 19-21).

For claim 32, Clise et al. disclose wherein said communication network interface means includes a hub means (figure 3A, reference 102) (col. 5 lines 54-55).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-17, 19-20, 22-23, 25-26, 28-29, and 31-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2616

Page 15

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-3153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00AM-4:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). TN

TN

HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600